

# Decisions of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee

1 November 2017

Members Present:

Councillor Eva Greenspan (Chairman)  
Councillor John Marshall (Vice-Chairman)

Councillor Arjun Mitra  
Councillor Alan Schneiderman  
Councillor Melvin Cohen  
Councillor Shimon Ryde  
Councillor Jim Tierney

## 1. MINUTES OF LAST MEETING

**RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 17 October 2017 be agreed as a correct record.

## 2. ABSENCE OF MEMBERS (IF ANY)

None.

## 3. DECLARATION OF MEMBERS' DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND NON PECUNIARY INTERESTS (IF ANY)

Member	Item	Interest Declaration
Councillor Eva Greenspan	22 And 24 Dollis Avenue London N3 1TX 17/1363/FUL	Councillor Greenspan declared a Non-pecuniary interest. She stated that she knew one of the objecting speakers. Councillor Greenspan took part in the consideration and voting process.
Councillor Arjun Mitra	Dersingham Road, NW2 1SP 17-3678-FUL	Councillor Mitra declared a Non-pecuniary interest. He stated that he was employed by the GLA. Councillor Mitta took part in the consideration and voting process.

## 4. REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER (IF ANY)

None.

## 5. ADDENDUM

The Committee noted the addendum to the report which had been published on the Council's website circulated to Members of the Committee and noted verbally by the Senior Planning Officer.

## 6. 17-1363-FUL 22-24 DOLLIS AVENUE

The Planning Officer presented the report to the Committee.

The Committee heard verbal representation from Mr Melvin White and Ms Zoe Donoff who spoke in objection and a response from the applicant's representative.

Councillor John Marshall moved to amend the officers recommendation and defer the item in order for a Basement Impact Assessment to be completed, but this was not seconded. Councillor Marshall's amendment was therefore lost.

The Chairman moved to refuse the item against officer recommendation. This was seconded by Councillor Shimon Ryde. The Committee therefore voted on the motion to refuse planning permission

The vote recorded was:

In favour of refusing planning permission – 5

Against refusing planning permission – 2

Further to this, a vote took place for the precise reason for refusal.

*The proposed development by reason of its size, footprint, massing, and width would be an overdevelopment of the site, appearing unduly obtrusive and detrimental to the character and appearance of the streetscene and general locality. The proposals would be contrary to policy DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policy 2012 and policy CS5 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy 2012.*

The vote recorded was:

For the reasons – 5

Against reasons – 2

**Resolved:**

That the Committee refused the application for the reasons listed above.

**7. 17-3678-FUL, DERSINGHAM ROAD, NW2 1SP**

The Planning Officer presented the report to the Committee.

The Committee heard verbal representation from Ms Collette Gamble and Mr Michael Zarghami (who spoke on behalf of his mother) who spoke in objection and a response from the applicant's representative.

Councillor John Marshall moved that the item be subject to a legal agreement to restrict occupiers of the development from obtaining parking permits. This was seconded by Councillor Arjun Mittra.

The vote was recorded below as:

1 For

3 Against

3 Abstain

This motion seeking a legal agreement was therefore lost.

Councillor Shimon Ryde moved that the item be refused. This was seconded by Councillor Arjun Mittra.

The vote was recorded as:

5 FOR Refusal  
2 AGAINST Refusal

The Committee then debated the reasons for refusal and voted on the following reasons:

- 1) The proposed development by reason of its size, footprint, scale, massing and design would be an overdevelopment of the site, appearing unduly obtrusive and detrimental to the character and appearance of the general locality. The proposals would be contrary to policy DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policy 2012 and policy CS5 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy 2012.
- 2) The proposed development by reason of the siting of terraces, windows and balconies would result in a perception of overlooking from neighbouring residential properties, being harmful to the visual and residential amenities of future occupiers. The proposals would be contrary to policy DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policy 2012 and policy CS5 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy 2012.

Vote was:

The vote was recorded as:

5 in support of the reasons for refusal  
2 AGAINST the reasons for refusal

**Resolved:**

That the Committee refused the application for the reasons listed above.

**8. ANY ITEM(S) THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT**

There were no urgent items.

The meeting finished at 8.30 pm